

Submission to Garnaut Climate Change Review by Richard Collins, Menah, NSW

Everything published so far by the Review contributes very little. I take issue with three items:

1. How?

There is very little serious discussion of how the end result (90% reduction of emissions) can be achieved.

2. “Clean Coal”

The Review is promoting “clean coal” as if there were really any such thing:

- You cannot escape the reality of $C + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$, i.e. burn coal, create CO_2 .
- There is no acknowledgement that for every carbon atom to be sequestered, two oxygen atoms would also be sequestered – don't we breathe oxygen?

3. Don't Mention Nuclear

Australia will require roughly one nuclear power station for every 5% reduction in emissions. A 90% reduction in emissions cannot occur without nuclear power.

Richard Collins

22nd February, 2008